



Review and Update



Review and Update



Review and Update

The narrative ToC outlines the assumptions, risks, and approaches that explain how activities will lead to the expected ultimate outcome. Are there any change sin context that impact the assumptions, risks, contributing factors?

Have you learned anything through PIP consultations that should inform updates?

Have you made any changes to the geographic scope that should inform updates?

Are there any leaps of logic that need more thinking to inform your approach?

Do you have any new lessons learned or best practices that can be harnessed?

- Is it Logical?
- Is it complete?
- Does it still make sense given changes in context?
- How do considerations from LM review impact other PIP elements?

Do the outputs logically support immediate outcomes?

Do the immediate outcomes logically support the intermediate outcomes?

Are the outcomes reasonably connected to the ultimate outcome?

- Is it Logical?
- Is it complete?
- Does it still make sense given changes in context?
- How do considerations from LM review impact other PIP elements?

Are there Gender Equality outcomes?

Is the syntax correct?

Does the language still reflect best practices / common use?

Is the wording understood the same way by all stakeholders?

Are there qualifiers that you may not want to have to measure? (e.g., 'good quality')?

Are there causal links that should be separated into different outcomes? E.g. improved access to health care <u>leading to</u> improved health (Immediate) (Intermediate)



#### Sample Results Chain (Global Affairs Canada)

nence		Ultimate Outcome	Gender Equality (GE) Health	Enjoyment of Human Rights Quality of Life	Prosperity Living Cond		Vell-being łuman Dignity	Security (Environmental, Economic, Personal, Community, Food, etc.)			
and Infl	Logic Model	Î	Change in behaviour, practice or performance of intermediaries or beneficiaries:								
Contribution and Influence		Intermediate Outcome	Decision-making Services	Participation Protection of Hum	an Rights	Policy-mak	ting	cial Norms evention of Sexual, Gender-based Violence			
ပိ		Change in capacities of intermediaries or beneficiaries:									
		Immediate	Knowledge	Skills		Attitudes	Willir	ngness			
		Outcome	Opinions	Awareness		Ability	Motiv	vation			
		Products and services delivered by project or program implementer(s):									
uo		Outputs	Workshop facilitated Training provided	Policy advice pro Research undert		Assessments conducted Report submitted		d Clinics built or refurbished			
tributi		Planned activities undertaken by project or program implementer(s):									
Control and Attribution	Outputs and Activities Matrix (OAM)	Activities	Draft report Hire a GE Specialist	Procure material Conduct environme assessment	ental	Monitor implementation Provide technical assistance		Analyze documentation Develop training curriculum			
ontr	outs a Matrij	Î	Resources invested by implementer(s) & donor(s):								
U	Out	Inputs	Money	Time		quipment					
$\bigvee$	-	inputs	Staff	Materials	Te	Technology					

Change in state, conditions or wellbeing of ultimate beneficiaries (not of surrounding circumstances):

Review & Update

Commor
Output
Syntax

What	What subject	Verb	To or for whom
Technical assistance	in project management	provided	to regional-government staff (f/m)
Trade mission to Canada	on promoting trade and investment in the region	organized	for representatives (f/m) of ministries of X and firms from region Y
Training	on how to respond to sexual and other forms of gender-based violence	provided	to field-investigative teams (f/m)

\* What-Verb-What subject-To or for whom is also accepted

- \*\* Outputs are objective and should contain no subjective terms (qualifiers)
- \*\*\* Outputs are measured in completeness rather than results

Activity

Can you determine which level of outcome these are based on the syntax?

Improved reproductive health of women in Region Y, Country X

Increased awareness of proper hygiene-practices by women in Region Y, Country X



Enhanced provision of health services to women and men by the ministry of health in Region Y

- Is it Logical?
- Is it complete?
- Does it still make sense given changes in context?
- How do considerations from LM review impact other PIP elements?

Are the outputs / outcomes still reasonable?

Are there contextual changes that require additional outputs?

Do the outputs / outcomes require further qualification?

Is the wording still appropriate and reflective of best practice?

- Is it Logical?
- Is it complete?
- Does it still make sense given changes in context?
- How do considerations from LM review impact other PIP elements?

What are the assumptions underpinning the logic model?


What risks are associated with those assumptions?

What measures will you need to take to ensure ToC holds true?

How will you monitor the assumptions / risks?

How will you ensure gender equality is considered at all levels?









Group Results Chain Review –

Choose one of the samples provided or a project from your group

Activity

- Chose one results chain (Outputs>Immediate>Intermediate)
- REVIEW: Is it logical? Is it complete? Is the language appropriate for the level of change? Are there qualifiers or concepts needing common definition?
- Identify outcomes that could need to be revisited during PIP review due to changes in context.
- Identify considerations for other PIP elements (e.g., risks)
- Think through considerations/opportunities for advancing GESI, equitable partnerships and localization